"AIDS occupies such a large part in our awareness because of what it has been taken to represent. It seems the very model of all the catastrophes privileged populations feel await them."

(Susan Sontag, 2001)

The HIV/AIDS have had a devastating impact in the world since its initial reported cases in 1983. The total number of people living with HIV at the end of 2005 is estimated in 40.3 millions with 4.9 new infections for that period (UNAIDS, 2005a). Figure 1 shows the estimated global number of people living with HIV from 2001 to 2005. Even though this numbers area astonishing, the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS, 2005b) has tried through its reports to identify strengths and successes in the fight against the epidemic and has stated that the HIV infection rates have decrease in certain countries. However the United Nations have also reported that “overall trends in HIV transmission are still increasing…” (p. 1).

Rates of HIV infection range from .01% in some countries in Eastern Europe (Poland) and Australia, to .07% in Argentina, .06% in the United States, 1.7% in Surinam and the Dominican Republic, to 5.6% in Haiti. This information might be underestimated due to the fact that surveillance is not done systematically in many countries (UNAIDS/WHO, 2004). The unequivocal fact is that the HIV/AIDS epidemic still disproportionately affects all countries in all regions of the world. In most countries minorities and marginalized populations are most affected; in the United States an estimated 40,000 people have been infected with HIV each year during the last decade. The epidemic is disproportionately lodged among Africa-American and Latino population, affecting mostly women (UNAIDS/WHO, 2004).

Sexual transmission is the most frequent infection route in most countries. With the exception of some countries, most of the HIV infection is related to unprotected intercourse. Most of the countries in the Caribbean, South America, United States, Canada, and Australia report high cases of sexually transmitted infection either by heterosexual or homosexual unprotected intercourse. Some countries in Europe including Spain, the Russian Federation, and Poland report a higher number of infection related to injection drug use. A high number of AIDS cases in the northeastern part of the United States are also due to sharing unclean needles for intravenous drug use, especially among minority populations (Cáceres, 2004, UNAIDS/WHO, 2004).

Issues of access to care and prevention information are constantly discussed among health care providers and...
government officials. Besides the fact that many countries do have access to antiretroviral treatments, there are some regions where treatment and care are not accessible by most of the affected population. The high cost of treatment and the sophistication sometimes required for the administration of antivirals, make it difficult for disfavored populations like the homeless and poorly educated patients in most of the America’s.

The Roles and Limitations of Culture

Although the geographical contexts are different, it seems that there is ample evidence that culture and geography do play an important role in the way people conceive health and sickness. Access to health information and the development of a healthy sexual lifestyle are deeply interconnected to personal and cultural values (Valdés, 2002). But, “beyond the core or internalized influence related to race, ethnicity, and culture, sexuality is also affected by the attitudes of society as a whole” (Gómez, Mason, & Alvarado, 2005, p. 87). The global numbers of people living with AIDS and the rate of HIV infection remind us of more of the role of our cultures, than of personal or individual vulnerabilities.

Be it through the culture of ethnicity, poverty or political environment, culture represents the social context of meaning of the HIV/AIDS epidemic (Duffy, 2005; Ogden & Nyblade, 2005). Globally, issues of sexuality, homosexuality, drug use or even premarital sex are still taboos associated with marginalized communities. Stigma and discrimination are part of complex systems of beliefs about illness and disease that are often grounded in social inequalities (Castro & Farmer, 2005).

Due to the social meanings attached to the epidemic, HIV produces social limitations which are mostly based on silence and invisibility. “HIV provides a unique opportunity, a window to explore the territories of secrecy, morality, and silence” (Klitzman & Bayer, 2003, p. 3). The truth of living with HIV is a constant reminder of the lack of acceptance and rejection found in our societies. Living with HIV demands silence and secrecy, because even in the best of cases people perceive rejection and lack of acceptance even from health care providers, including psychologists.

AIDS is a disease of social meaning (Varas-Díaz, Toro-Alfonso, & Serrano-García, 2005). Among other factors, this social meaning is disseminated through culture and the mass media that presents the epidemic as intimately associated to death and sickness; to the invisible lives of other people. In open contrast to other diseases portrayed by the media as generators of sympathy and motivation, AIDS is mostly presented as evidence of decadence and deterioration (Varas-Díaz & Toro-Alfonso, 2003). There are no attractive or romantic metaphors associated to AIDS (Sontag, 2001).

Stigma beyond Borders: International Perspectives on Stigma

The discreditable nature of people living with HIV/AIDS is based in the constant reminder that the information about their seropositive status could easily be identified by others (Goffman, 1963). The constant hyper-vigilance of living with the infection transcends geographical borders and cultures as is demonstrated by all the articles included in this special issue. Stigma and discrimination go beyond the borders established by languages, cultures, and sexualities.

Stigma can lead to discrimination and other violations of human rights which affect the well-being of people living with HIV in fundamental ways. In countries all over the world, there are well-documented cases of people living with HIV being denied the rights to healthcare, work, education, and freedom of movement, among others (Aggleton, Wood, Malcolm, & Parker, 2005). HIV-related stigma is also associated to economic and ethnic discrimination which co-exist to worsen the lives of people living with the disease. The fact that stigma transcends borders presents us with an international challenge. As the HIV/AIDS epidemic continues to grow and impact the most vulnerable populations in our countries we need to identify and develop a global response. Stigma and discrimination combine non-normative behaviors which are usually criminalized by uneven power relations. An international review of the impact of stigma evidences the combination of stigma with disease, poverty, gender, social class, and nationality. Be it either HIV or Hepatitis C, located in the north or south of the America’s, at the East of Europe or the Greater Caribbean, or in Australia; the testimonies and scientific evidence on the detrimental social and health implications of stigma is vast.

As gender and sexuality become the target for exclusion, we will find women and sexual minorities separated and discriminated just for being who they are.

UNAIDS identified early in the epidemic the need for a global response to stigma as one of the most important prevention intervention in the world. The need to support human rights and citizenship among people in general and people living with HIV/AIDS in particular, represents the best public health intervention today. The limitations imposed to people with HIV/AIDS deny their rights to access care and prevention, limit the possibilities of providing a safe environment for them and their families, and hinder primary prevention efforts.

Testing and surveillance will only be effective in a safe environment. A call for universal testing for the so-called “risk populations” including pregnant women will not be sufficient to halt the epidemic amidst a social environment that stigmatizes and excludes those who will become HIV positive.

Public health officials and governments must directly address stigma as a structural obstacle for ending the epidemic. Besides access to treatment and care, society must guarantee
total citizenship and protection against discrimination. The international community has an enormous task in providing a safe environment and opening spaces for solidarity and acceptance for all people living with the HIV no matter their mean of infection. As long as society fosters the intention to deny human rights and full citizenship to a sector of the population on the basis of sexual practices or drug use behavior, stigma and discrimination will prevail.

Challenging HIV-Related Stigma

In order to challenge stigma and its multiple and complex implications, we must understand stigma and discrimination as an exercise of power that requires that to change and transform stigma we must address the fundamental cause of stigma, this is the “deeply held attitudes and beliefs of powerful groups that lead to labeling, stereotyping, setting apart, devaluing, and discriminating” (Link & Phelan, 2001, p. 381).

Understanding stigma and discrimination as an exercise of power requires that to change and transform stigma we must challenge the role of such groups in making their beliefs the dominant ones. Issues of heterosexism, morality, class, and exclusion of social sectors from access to work, wealth, and health care must be directly addressed in targeting stigma in general and HIV-related stigma in particular.

We coincide with Castro and Farmer (2005) when they proposed structural violence as a conceptual framework for understanding AIDS-related stigma (p. 54). Poverty and racism viewed as structural violence are intricately related to stigma. Poor people are the highest stigmatized group all around the globe, more so for poor people living with HIV/AIDS.

HIV/AIDS stigmatization results in silence, secrecy, lies, and denial (Klitzman & Bayer, 2003) that represent an obstacle for access to care and the possibility of survival for many people living with the infection. Although more than 20 years have passed since the report of the first AIDS case, the studies presented in this special issue clearly demonstrate that stigma is still in the realm of the lives of those more affected by the epidemic. Along with the development of new and better drugs for treatment there is an unavoidable task to overcome discrimination. This should be the agenda for the next decade.

The Content of this Special Issue

It is in light of the difficulties imposed by AIDS stigma that we decided to edit this special issue of the Interaamerican Journal of Psychology. The issue is composed by 10 papers from 20 authors from nine countries: Puerto Rico, Dominican Republic, Argentina, United States of America, Poland, Suriname, Australia and Canada. The issue is divided into three sections that reflect subjects of concern for the authors and areas of potential action.

The first section is entitled The Personal Experience of Stigma: Migration, Co-infection and Everyday Life. In this section we have included papers that evidence through social research the difficulties faced by PLWHA due to the manifestations of AIDS stigma. Several aspects of these manifestations are highlighted in each paper. Irene López Severino and Antonio de Moya contextualize the manifestations of AIDS stigma in the Dominican Republic in relation to migration routes from Haiti to the Dominican Republic. Their paper is an excellent example of the contextual nature of AIDS stigma. As it is combined with other pre-existing stigmas, AIDS stigma is worsened. Such is the case for migrant Haitian communities which are usually blamed for the spread of HIV/AIDS.

The second paper in this section was written by Mario Pecheny, Hernán Martín Manczelli and Daniel Jones from Argentina. They provide us with an interesting analysis related to the hierarchies of meaning associated to different diseases. Although both HIV/AIDS and Hepatitis C are stigmatized diseases, the first still evokes more negative interpretations. The authors reflect on the combinations of different types of stigmas in the daily lives of PLWHA and how the transformations in the meanings of other diseases are a potential example for AIDS stigma eradication.

The human rights situation of people living with HIV/AIDS is a product of the history of general ignorance regarding civil rights among the Dominican population itself; of the lack of a migration policy that respects human rights; of the history of conflicts between the two nations; and of the generalized stigmatizing of HIV/AIDS. As one key informant declared, the only right that people who are HIV positive appear to have in the bateyes — Dominicans as well as Haitians — is to die, without ever even knowing what they are dying from or why.

Irene López, Severino and Antonio de Moya
Haiti/Dominican Republic

If discrimination is basically a social phenomenon, the actions taken by the victims become part of the public and political sphere even more so. The fact of taking the concealed and stigmatized dimension of the identity to the public sphere, transforms the nature of stigmatization itself.

Mario Pecheny, Hernán Martín Manczelli and Daniel Eduardo Jones
Argentina

The third section is entitled Theoretical Perspectives and Areas of Potential Action.
In our third article Mariví Arregui presents a compelling look at the everyday manifestation of AIDS stigma in the Dominican Republic. One of the particularities of her paper is that she provides us with an ample range of strategies for stigma eradication, most of which stem from her interviews with PLWHA. Her results evidence how the disease has different meanings for different sectors of the population and how self-hatred can be one of its consequences. She also argues for an approach towards stigma reduction that takes into consideration couples, families, communities, and the church. Although all of these institutions play a role in the stigmatization of HIV/AIDS, Arregui argues for their inclusion in efforts to eradicate it.

The first scenario affected by rejection and stigmatization associated to HIV/AIDS is the self image. An HIV positive person first reflects every prejudice and rejection learned in society onto himself/herself. He or she is flooded with rational and irrational fears. Fear and silence take control over the life of the person recently diagnosed with HIV. Fears cloud over the possibility of being recognized as an infected person, so he or she tries to hide what others don’t know.

Mariví Arregui
Dominican Republic

The second section of the special issue is entitled Facing Stigma in the Health Sector. Although all manifestations of AIDS stigma have negative implications for PLWHA, when it is manifested by health professionals it can be severely worsened since it hinders access to health related services.

This worry regarding the use of sensitive data from PLWHA was corroborated when participants manifested the need to supervise and regulate those living with the virus. Instances from work scenarios to sexual activity were described as areas that needed to be regulated with the use of the gathered information in order to stop PLWHA from infecting others. The use of sensitive information, in light of these attitudes towards surveillance of the sick, poses a major challenge for PLWHA and a fertile ground for AIDS stigma.

In the first article of this section Yamilette Ruiz-Torres, Francheska Cintrón-Bou and Nelson Varas Díaz present data from their study with Puerto Rican health professionals. Their paper examines how AIDS stigma is used as a mechanism of social control over PLWHA. This in turn has disastrous implications for prevention efforts and limits the manifestations of PLWHA.

In the fourth and final article of this section Ida Roldán presents us with a compelling look at how culture fosters AIDS stigma among the Puerto Rican community in the United States. She argues that the culture’s religious and spiritual roots have pushed HIV/AIDS into the realm of sin. This in turn has disastrous implications for prevention efforts and limits the manifestations of PLWHA.

Similarly, the Puerto Rican family’s core beliefs about the causes of HIV/AIDS and illness in general shape their reaction and give rise to the complicated feelings and fantasies which are culturally derived. These beliefs are what drive the Puerto Rican family to act uncharacteristically distant and un-nurturing when faced with HIV/AIDS.

Ida Roldán
United States of America
share amongst themselves confidential information regarding the serostatus of patients. This of course, is a blatant manifestation of AIDS stigma as confidentiality is breached and the process of sharing negative meanings regarding PLWHA is fostered. Still, these same nurses manifested the need to provide financial assistance and find work for PLWHA. This combination of stigmatizing behaviours and positive attitudes towards the needs of PLWHA is evidence that AIDS stigma can co-exist with more positive perspectives on PLWHA. This can hinder effective interventions to reduce it due to the difficulty entailed in its identification.

In Suriname a fairly reasonable amount of research has been done on HIV/AIDS. What is evident, however, is the fact that this research does not particularly address HIV/AIDS stigma and discrimination. In recent years researchers have acknowledged this gap and have endeavored to focus on the so-called ‘softer’ side of science when doing research on HIV/AIDS.

Charles L. Law, Eden King, Emily Zitek and Michelle R. Hebl explore the future directions that research on AIDS stigma should take. This is done through a review of past research in the United States. They provide excellent examples of how stigma has been studied in the past, while providing guidelines for future efforts. They specifically argue for research that disentangles the stigmas of homosexuality, drug use, and AIDS. Research on the implications of AIDS stigma in the workplace is also identified as an emerging need.

Future research should consider the impact of the stigma of homosexuality on the AIDS stigma, the dynamics of the stigma of AIDS in organizational contexts, and strategies by which the stigma may be reduced or avoided. By thoroughly integrating behavioral and applied scientific approaches, we may begin to fully understand the problem of the stigma of AIDS and to identify and implement its solution.

The greatest tragedy of HIV/AIDS is that we know how to stop its spread, and yet in most parts of the world we are failing to do so. The literature tends to emphasize immediate problems—lack of condoms or clean needles, safe sex fatigue, unwillingness to interfere with the immediate gratification of sex or drugs. There is less emphasis on the political barriers that are accelerating the epidemic—the deliberate neglect by governments, the unwillingness to speak openly of HIV and its risks, the hypocrisy with which simple measures of prevention are forestalled in the name of culture, religion and tradition.

Ostracism of those infected or those at risk of infection often accompanies scapegoating. The practice of social ostracism is qualitatively different from the public health practice of quarantine. Ostracism implies a moral or value judgment about the individual afflicted with a disease rather than a medical judgment about the disease itself. The attachment of a stigma to an illness does little to eliminate contact with contagions, although this can provide an artificial boundary between us and them.

In Conclusion

In this special issue we have aimed to evidence the diversity and similarities that the manifestations of AIDS stigma entail for our countries. We feel that although it is an important step towards reflecting and acting to reduce AIDS stigma, more research and action are needed.
stigma, much needs to be done in each of the described scenarios. We hope that the included articles are a stepping stone towards that goal.

Finally, we wish to thank all of the authors that have provided us with their time, ideas, and patience in the editing and publication process of this special issue. They all make important contributions towards the eradication of AIDS stigma.
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